



Business Impact Analysis RESCINDED PACKAGES

Agency Name: Ohio State Board of Cosmetology

Regulation/Package Title: 4713-3-10 License Display Rule

Rule Number(s): 4713-3-10

Date: January 13, 2017

Rule Type:

✓ Rescinded

This form is intended for rule packages in which every rule in the package is being rescinded. *New, Amended, No-Change, and Rescind/New rules must use the standard BIA.*

The Common Sense Initiative was established by Executive Order 2011-01K and placed within the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. Under the CSI Initiative, agencies should balance the critical objectives of all regulations with the costs of compliance by the regulated parties. Agencies should promote transparency, consistency, predictability, and flexibility in regulatory activities. Agencies should prioritize compliance over punishment, and to that end, should utilize plain language in the development of regulations.

Regulatory Intent

1. Please describe in plain language the regulation that is being rescinded.

OAC 4713-3-10 requires licenses to be publicly displayed.

2. Why is the regulation being rescinded?

Please be specific (ORC change, request of stakeholders, etc.)

Am. Sub. S.B. 213 removed the term “manager license” or “managing license” from the ORC 4713. Additionally, this bill changed section 4713.56 by removing the requirement that a personal license be conspicuously displayed. For these reasons the current rule is no longer valid.

- 3. Please describe in general terms the adverse impacts to business, including currently impacted industries, in the existing rule(s).**

The Board has determined that there is no adverse impact to business. This rule does not create a cost or a burden to implement by its rescission. Further, the law directs the rescission of the rule, because its requirements are no longer valid.

- 4. Are there other regulations (either existing or to be created) which will replace the regulation being rescinded or which will now apply because this regulation is being rescinded? This can include rules, statute, federal regulations, agency policies, or industry standards etc.**

No.

- 5. Does the rescission of this regulation eliminate flexibility or create more adverse impacts for stakeholders? If yes, please describe stakeholder outreach and justify the impacts.**

No the rescission of this rule does not eliminate flexibility. The opposite would be the case. Licensees would now not be required to conspicuously display the license at a salon. Instead, each licensed person carries an I.D. Card, which is portable and can be moved from salon to salon as required.